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## A New Contractive Coupling Procedure. Convenient Phosphorus Expulsion Reaction

Sir:
The utility of contraction reactions is probably best demonstrated by procedures that have been devised for the ring compression of carbophanes and heterophanes. ${ }^{1}$ Historically, several fundamental routes, based on sulfur chemistry, have have been widely used: (1) thermolysis of sulfoxides, ${ }^{2}$ (2) photolysis of sulfides ${ }^{3}$ or disulfides, ${ }^{4}$ (3) Stevens rearrangement, ${ }^{5}$ and (4) Ramberg-Bäcklund rearrangement. ${ }^{6}$ Ring contraction of cyclic ethers has been reported; ${ }^{7}$ however, this route has never received proper recognition. In these known heteroatom contraction procedures, an inherent common limitation is that the bridge(s) must possess an $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}^{\prime}$ moiety, which contracts to $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}^{\prime}$. We herein report a novel complimentary procedure, based upon phosphorus expulsion, that will now permit the facile construction of a (hetero) aryl-(hetero)aryl bond.

With the current interest in the synthesis and chemistry of phosphorus containing macrocycles, we envisioned the nucleophilic displacement of halide from phosphine $\mathbf{3 b}$ with a glycolate dianion ${ }^{8}$ to afford 6 (Scheme I). Reaction of lithiodiphenylphosphide with ary ${ }^{9}$ or heteroary ${ }^{10}$ halides has been shown to afford the triarylphosphines in variable but respectable yields. Thus, when either (a) dilithiophenylphosphide ${ }^{11}$ was reacted with halopyridines 1 or (b) dichlorophenylphosphine was treated with substituted 2 -lithiopyridines 2 , the desired substituted phosphines 3 were isolated in a $50-60 \%$ yield. Procedure $b$ is most commonly used, when the organo-

${ }^{a}$ a, buLi, ether, $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{PhPCl}_{2} ; \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{PhPLi}_{2} ;$ e, $\mathrm{HO}-$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, NaH ( 2.5 equiv), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}_{2}, 140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; f, $\mathrm{EtONa}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Me}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
metallic reagents can be easily prepared, whereas procedure a is advantageous when the heterocyclic halide can not be conveniently transformed into the corresponding organometallic (e.g., 1c) or is prone to coupling reaction under metalation conditions. The free phosphine $\mathbf{3 b}$ was smoothly converted ( $47 \%$ ) into 6 (oil; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, \beta-\xi-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 20 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), $4.28\left(\mathrm{t}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.66$ (dd, 5 -pyr H, $J=8,1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 6.97 (ddd, 3-руг H, $J=7,4,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.20-7.85$ (m, 4-pyr H, $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{H}, 7 \mathrm{H}$ )) upon treatment with sodium hexaethyleneglycolate, generated from the glycol and sodium hydride, at $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in xylene under nitrogen for 12 h .

Owing to the facile aerobic oxidation of these heteroarylphosphines, the free phosphines ( 3 and 6 ) were oxidized with dilute hydrogen peroxide to corresponding $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow$ oxides 4 and 7. Attempted conversions of either $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow$ oxides $\mathbf{4 b}$ or $\mathbf{4 c}$ into 7 by the above procedure afforded (30-55\%) 5b (mp 222-223 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{12}$ or $5 \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{mp} 202-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ),,$^{13}$ respectively, along with unreacted starting material, and traces of 8 . Repetition of this procedure with $\mathbf{4 b}$ at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with (a) glycol and no sodium hydride, (b) sodium hydride and no glycol, and (c) neither sodium hydride nor glycol gave predominately unreacted starting material. These $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow$ oxides are generally stable to prolonged exposure to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an inert atmosphere. Refinement of reaction conditions (sodium ethoxide ( 2 equiv), toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) gives rise to a smooth transformation of $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathbf{c}$ to 5 a-c, each in $50-60 \%$ yield. With prolonged reaction times, $\mathbf{5 b}$ reacts with sodium ethoxide to give ( $90 \%$ ) $\mathbf{5 d}$ (mp 78-79 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.43\left(\mathrm{t},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.51(\mathrm{q}$, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2-}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.71 (dd, 5 -pyr H, $J=7,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.54 (dd, 4-руг H, $J=7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.97 (dd, 3-руг H, $J=$ $7,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), which can be isolated as the potential side product under more drastic conditions.

Treatment of $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow$ oxide 7 with sodium hexaethyleneglycolate at $90-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene afforded ( $32 \%$ ) the ring-contracted bipyridyl macrocycle $8^{14}\left(\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{41-43}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.17$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \xi-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, \epsilon-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, \delta-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, \gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.94\left(\mathrm{t}, \beta-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4\right.$ H), 4.73 (t, $\alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.77 (dd, $5,5^{\prime}$-руг H, $J$ $=8,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.67 (dd, $4,4^{\prime}$-pyr H, $J=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.90 (dd, $3,3^{\prime}$-pyr H, $J=8,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ )) along with traces of openchained dipyridyl ether 9 . In an attempt to ascertain further insight into the mechanism, a mixture of $4 a$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ was subjected to the modified conditions; only $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$ were isolated and no evidence for the mixed bipyridyl was detected. This lack of mixed bipyridyl is indicative of an intramolecular reaction pathway which leads to the coupled product.

Rationale for this intramolecular contraction procedure is shown in Scheme II. Initial nucleophilic attack on phosphorus generates the bipyramidal phosphorane $\mathbf{1 0}$, in which one pyridyl group is in the apical position. Migration of (hetero) aryl groups from phosphorane intermediates depends on (a) the nature of substituents attached to phosphorus and (b) the stabilization of the migrating group by an electrophilic center adjacent to phosphorus. ${ }^{15-17}$ Thus a "benzylic acid-type" rearrangement affords a new 1,2-dihydropyridine anion 11, which subsequently rearomatizes via loss of a phosphorus moiety.

This facile contractive conversion of phosphine oxides to biheteroaryls reported here offers an easy complimentary reaction to the known sulfur expulsion reactions. In light of the wide range of industrial and medicinal compounds as well as

Scheme II

analytical reagents and novel inorganic ligands, which are currently inaccessible by known simple procedures, this phosphorus contraction reaction can be utilized. Extension of this work is now in progress.
Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation for partial financial support.
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## True vs. Apparent Asymmetry

Sir:
We wish to show that the electronic asymmetry of a molecule may be strongly at variance with the visual geometric asymmetry which appears from simply looking at the molecule. By electronic asymmetry we mean the asymmetry of the total wave function, and of the measurable observables thereof (charge density, dipole moment, etc.). Consider the two substituted butadienes, 1,2-dimethylbutadiene (I) and 1,3dimethylbutadiene (II), in their cis conformations. Relative to the two symmetry elements- $C_{2}$ axis, $C$ plane-cutting through the midpoint of the central bond in the parent mole-



I


II
cule, I appears to be far more asymmetrical than II. We now proceed to show that the contrary is true for the full electronic ground states, and predict consequences which should be amenable to experimental verification.

It is convenient to consider first the changes in the individual molecular orbitals of butadiene brought about by the different substitution patterns. We consider, in the traditional manner, only the primary perturbing effect of the substituents: a change in Coulomb energy (the parameter $\alpha$ of Hückel theory) at the substituted carbon atom, with a concomitant change in the four $\pi$ molecular orbitals. These four orbitals change by mixing with each other. We can write the new orbitals $\psi_{i}$ in terms of the old ones $\psi_{j}^{\circ}$,

$$
\psi_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{i j} \psi_{j}^{j}
$$

where the mixing coefficients, to first order, are

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i i} & =1  \tag{1}\\
c_{i j} & =\sum_{\substack{\text { perturbed } \\
\text { centers, } r}} \frac{c_{i r}^{\circ} c_{j r}^{\circ}}{E_{i}^{\circ}-E_{j}^{\circ}} \Delta \alpha_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{align*}
$$

In eq $1, \Delta \alpha_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the change in Coulomb integral at atom r, $E_{i}^{\circ}$ the energy of the $i$ th orbital in the unperturbed molecule, and $c_{i r}^{\circ}$ the familiar LCAO expansion coefficients of this same molecular orbital.

The predominant induced mixing is determined by the substitution pattern. Large mixings occur between two MO's when the terms for the two substituted centers add in eq 1, i.e., when the products of the coefficients on the two substitution sites have the same sign in the two MO's. In I, $\psi_{1}^{\circ}$ mixes essentially with $\psi_{2}^{\circ}$, and $\psi_{2}^{\circ}$ of course with $\psi_{1}^{\circ}$ (and slightly with $\psi_{3}^{\circ}$ ); in II, $\psi_{1}^{\circ}$ mixes exclusively with $\psi_{4}^{\circ}$, and $\psi_{2}^{\circ}$ with $\psi_{3}^{\circ}$. All of these mixings concern pairs of orbitals with different symmetry ( $A, S$ ) and therefore contribute to the orbital asymmetry. ${ }^{1}$ Scheme I shows the new molecular orbitals $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ (calculated for $\Delta \alpha=-\beta / 2$ ) in molecules I and II.

We now turn to the asymmetry of the total electronic ground state. Clearly any mixings between occupied orbitals will not change the overall $\pi$ wave function or density (even though, as seen above, the individual orbitals are highly perturbed). Mixings between occupied and unoccupied orbitals, however, will affect this wave function. ${ }^{2}$ Because of the important role of the energy gap between orbitals in determining the extent of mixing, the mixing between "frontier" orbitals

Scheme I. Change in the Occupied Molecular Orbitals of Butadiene upon Substitution. On the Right, Total Atomic $\pi$ Densities


